

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 25632 of 2022
Date of Decision : 11.11.2022

Ved Bala

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the marks secured by the petitioner in the subject of English have wrongly been calculated by the respondents as 144 marks instead of 157 marks as the marks for internal assessment given to the petitioner has not been taken into account while calculating the total marks secured by the petitioner in the subject to English while obtaining the Graduation degree. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that ignoring the internal assessment by the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal and, therefore, respondents are liable to be directed to re-assess the eligibility of the petitioner keeping in view the actual marks secured as depicted in the mark sheet of the petitioner and thereafter, consider the claim of the petitioner for selection and appointment to the post of TGT English.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10/17.10.2022 (Annexure P-11) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 10/17.10.2022 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 10/17.10.2022 (Annexure P-11), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 11, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes

Whether reportable? No