

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.30466 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.12.2022**

Reena Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab for the respondents.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for quashing the in-action on the part of respondents while not considering the claim of petitioner for appointment to the post of ETT Teacher against Advertisement dated 09.11.2015 (P-7).

Learned State Counsel has handed over a copy of appointment letter, issued in favour of the petitioner. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X'.

In view of the above development, learned Counsel on instructions from petitioner does not press the present petition any further, however, she submits that petitioner be granted the consequential benefits at par with the candidate(s), who was/were selected and appointed with her, wayback in the year 2016; and/or she be granted liberty to approach the respondents for the claim of seniority and pay fixation etc.

Disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents for any ancillary relief; if so advised.

In case a request is made within two weeks, the same shall be considered within eight weeks, as per law.

Also clarified that in case of failure, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of the present writ petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

December 13th, 2022
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No