

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51085- 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:12.12.2022

Nitin Grover alias Mangi

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present : Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Kunal Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana
Ms. Ishita Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner Nitin Grover alias Mangi has prayed for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 332 dated 15.08.2022, under Sections 323, 384 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Yamunanagar, who is are alleged to have harassed people and extorted money from them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 18.10.2022. He submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he has extorted an amount of Rs. 2000/- from the complainant and there is nothing on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged offence. He further submits that the conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Reply has been filed by the respondent-State, which is taken on

record. Even though learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the petitioner is a habitual offender and the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature and he is involved in two more cases.

Controverted with the same, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in one case the cancellation report has already been filed by the police authorities and in other case, the petitioner has been acquitted from the charges levelled against him.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is incarceration since 18.10.2022.

It is not a case made out by the respondent-State that the concession of bail if granted to the petitioner, he would be in a position to hamper the course of free and fair trial.

Resultantly, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

The petition is allowed.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

12.12.2022

seema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes /No</i>