

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29699-2019

Date of decision 17.11.2022

HARI PAL

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lekhraj Nandal, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner should be allowed to continue as Extension Lecturer keeping in view workload.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the reply, during the corona period, there was no workload, hence, the services of the petitioner was not required for but thereafter, keeping in view the workload, the petitioner has already been allowed to continue in service and the petitioner is already discharging the duties as Extension Lecturer.

Keeping in view the fact that no one has controverted that the petitioner has already been re-engaged and is continuing as extension lecturer, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondent in case any of her grievance still survives.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising any grievance, the same will be decided within period of 8 weeks, by passing appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

17.11.2022

sangeeta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No