

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

275

**1. RFA-4014-2018
Date of decision: 14.07.2022**

**M/S MUSKAN PROMOTORS PVT LTD ..Appellant
Versus**

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

2. RFA-1517-2019

**M/S AKASH DEEP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
FINANCE PVT LTD ..Appellant**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

3. RFA-1519-2019

**M/S AKASH DEEP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
FINANCE PVT LTD ..Appellant**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

4. RFA-1520-2019

**M/S AKASH DEEP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
FINANCE PVT LTD ..Appellant**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate
Mr. C.B. Goel, Advocate
for the landowners.**

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr. Ashwani Kumar Chopra, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
Mr. Vidul Kapoor, Advocate
for HSIIDC.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

As per the findings arrived at by the Reference Court, the respective applications filed under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, before the Land Acquisition Collector were presented beyond the prescribed time. Thus, the Reference Court has dismissed the reference applications. A Larger Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Mohd. Hasnuddin Vs. State of Maharashtra (1979) 2 SCC 572**, has held that such reference, even if made, is without jurisdiction and neither the Land Acquisition Collector nor the Reference Court has any power to condone the delay.

Consequently, the appeals are dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

July 14th, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*