

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP No.12400 of 2021

Date of Decision: January 24, 2022

Karaj Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Reply of Warrant Officer has been filed through Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran. The relevant paragraphs are depicted as follows:-

“ 3. That it is submitted that in compliance with above said order dated 07.01.2022 passed by this Hon'ble Court, the then Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran Sh. Harwinder Singh Virk, PPS has ordered the fact finding enquiry to be conducted by Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Tarn Taran. After going through all the facts, the Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Tarn Taran has concluded that one Ranjit Singh son of Darshan Singh, resident of Ubboke has moved a complaint bearing No.50-Dasti dated 28.12.2021 against Bhola Singh and ASI Baljinder Singh 770/TT has called both the parties in the police post Kairon, District Tarn Taran on 31.12.2021 and ASI Baljinder Singh has seated Bhola Singh in the police post Kairon, District Tarn Taran at night on 31.12.2021 but he had not incorporated DDR in this regard and the In-charge police post ASI Baljinder Singh, 770/TT has been found to be delinquent while performing his official duties and hence, the Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Tarn Taran has recommended to initiate departmental action against him. The true translated copy of fact finding enquiry conducted by Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Tarn Taran

is annexed herewith as **Annexure R-1/T** and vernacular copy is attached herewith as **Annexure R-1**.

4. That on the basis of report concluded by the Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Tarn Taran, the then Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran had initiated the departmental enquiry vide his office order No.3936-42/B dated 18.01.2022 and the enquiry officer has been directed to conclude departmental enquiry in a time bound manner and submit his report within 2 months. The true translated copy of order regarding departmental enquiry is annexed herewith as **Annexure R-2/T** and copy of order of departmental enquiry is **Annexure R-2”**

Since the State proposes to take action as mentioned in reply, given above, no order is required to be passed by this Court at this stage and the petition is disposed of with the liberty to file fresh petition in case redressal of the grievance exists. Thus, as per reply, most of the position taken by the petitioner stands vindicated.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

January 24, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No