

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRWP No. 12418 of 2021
Date of Decision: 21.1.2022**

Navjeet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. However, in view of the contentions, as carried in paragraph 3 of the reply on affidavit, paragraph whereof stands extracted hereinafter, the petition does not survive, and, it is ordered to be withdrawn.

“That it is humbly submitted that in compliance of the order passed by this Hon'ble High Court, the direction has been issued to deponent and SHO Police Station Dinanagar by the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur (respondent No. 2) to provide the security to the peritonitis. SHO Police Station Dinanagar submitted his report that the petitioner No. 2 has got recorded his statement before ASI Vaishno Dass of Police Station Dinanagar that he does not require any police protection. So

there is no threat from the respondents No. 4 to 5 (mother and father of the petitioner No. 2). It is also stated that his wife (petitioner No. 1) is studying (ILETS) at Bathinda and residing her parental home at Moga. The true translated statement of petitioner No. 2 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T.”

3. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

January 21, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No