

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204-2

CRM-M-11-2022

Date of Decision: 25.05.2022

PUNEET SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

On 05.01.2022, this Court passed the following order:-

“The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial litigation between the parties. He submits that the allegation against the petitioner-husband is that when the complainant had gone to the Court for filing of the divorce petition, the petitioner-husband hurled abuses at her, tried to strangle her and in the process her T-shirt got torn. He submits that though there is an allegation that the petitioner had poured something on her due to which two fingers of her left hand got burnt but as per the medical opinion, there is no burn injury on the hand of the complainant. He has placed reliance on the interim order dated 11.11.2021, Annexure P-3, passed by this Court in CRM-M-47353-2021 whereby co-accused Sandeep has been granted interim protection.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana, who is present through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

List on 21.04.2022.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-47353-2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Interim bail granted to co-accused, Sandeep Singh in CRM-M-47353-2021, has been made absolute by this Court by an order passed on even date.

Upon instructions from ESI Rameshwar Dyal, State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 05.01.2022 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

25.05.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No