

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRMs-390-391-2022 in/&
CRM-M-54776-2021
Date of Decision : 07.01.2022**

Rajesh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that as certain facts, which are very essential for the consideration of the prayer of the petitioners for the grant of anticipatory bail could not be mentioned while filing the present petition, the petitioners be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

January 07, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No