

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-54739-2021
Date of decision: 25.05.2022**

RAJA TANEJA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kajal Sandher, Advocate
for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 182 dated 20.12.2021 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the order dated 14.02.2022 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel on instruction from ASI Balwinder Kumar corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 14.02.2022 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MAY 25, 2022
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No