

290

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.54664 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 04.04.2022

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 193 dated 31.12.2019, registered under Section 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Sadar, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 10.01.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the complainants have allegedly given a sum of Rs. 29,26,644/- to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the matter pertains to the period from 30.09.2014 to 06.01.2016 and the complaint was given after a period of about three years on 11.05.2019, whereas the FIR was registered on 31.12.2019.

Learned counsel further submits that the allegations

in the FIR are that a total amount of Rs. 42 Lakh was paid by the complainants, out of which, Rs. 29,26,644/- was paid by way of bank transactions, for which the petitioner had issued cheques, though the same have dishonoured.

Learned counsel further submits that the fact that petitioner had given cheques to complainant, which were later on dishonoured, gives a ground to complainants to file a complaint against him under Section 138 of the N. I. Act. Learned counsel further submits that four of the complainants are already settled abroad.

Notice of motion for 04.04.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 10.01.2022, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vikramjeet Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.01.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

04.04.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No