

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-251-2022
Date of Decision:-16.3.2022

SANJEEV HOODA AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the respondent No.2-complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition is for quashing of FIR No.631 dated 2.12.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324, 342, 506, 34 IPC (Sections 212 and 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Ballabhgarh Sadar, District Faridabad on the basis of compromise dated 11.12.2021 (Annexure P-3) along with other consequential proceedings arising thereto.

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Anil Kumar, in which he alleged that on 1.12.2019 all the petitioners tres-passed into the house of the complainant

and caused injuries to the complainant as well as respondents No.3 and 4 with the different weapons.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

I am of the view that the aforesaid compromise is in the welfare and interest of the parties and will enable the parties to live in peace and enjoy their life in a dignified manner. Also there is no legal impediment in

translating their wishes into reality by putting an end to the criminal prosecution initiated on the basis of FIR in question.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.631 dated 2.12.2019 registered under Sections 323, 324, 342, 506, 34 IPC (Sections 212 and 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Ballabhgarh Sadar, District Faridabad on the basis of compromise dated 11.12.2021 (Annexure P-3) and all the subsequent proceedings thereof are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

16.3.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No