

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25089 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2022

Rani Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: None.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 29.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), whereby her nomination papers have been rejected.

Mr. Gurjeet Kumar, who is representing his wife Rani Devi-petitioner, has produced two notifications dated 13.10.2022 and 17.10.2022. As per notification dated 13.10.2022, petitioner's village (i.e. Indergarh) is shown at sequential No.30 and is reserved for Scheduled Caste Sarpanch.

Grievance of the petitioner is that as per subsequent notification dated 17.10.2022, sequential number of her village has been changed to Sr. No.29.

A perusal of this notification shows that in the earlier notification dated 13.10.2022, a mistake had been committed by the respondent-authority and the petitioner(s) had approached this Court by filing CWP No.23738 of 2022 and the Deputy Commissioner, Karnal, gave his report to the effect that Gram Panchayat Kalra Jagir was created by

bifurcating the Gram Panchayat, Dhanokheri in the year 2015. Gram Panchayat Dhanokheri had been reserved for Scheduled Caste Sarpanch during the year 2000. Gram Panchayat Kalra Jagir had again been reserved during the year 2016-2021. Thus, this Gram Panchayat has been reserved for Scheduled Caste Sarpanch two times earlier. It could not be reserved for third time. Accordingly, this correction had been carried vide notification dated 17.10.2022 and village Kalra Jagir has been deleted from the list of reserved category. In that procedure, sequential number of village Indergarh (petitioner's village) has been changed to serial No.29 and it has now been reserved for 'other than women' category.

In view of the said fact, representation of the petitioner has been rightly rejected by the competent authority. No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

No merits. Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

04.11.2022
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No