

[146] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2390-2022

Date of Decision :05.12.2022

Varun Gupta ...Petitioner

versus

Manish Kumar Lohan ....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate for  
Mr. Manish Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 16.09.2022, in CWP No.21264 of 2022 in case titled as 'Varun Gupta, versus District Registrar, Firms and Societies, Karnal, Haryana and another'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.21264 of 2022 was disposed of with a direction to the Registrar of Societies, Haryana, to consider the pending appeals and pass a reasoned and speaking order on the said appeals preferably within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order besides decision on the application seeking interim relief within 10 days of the date of hearing on 29.09.2022.

[3] Reply has been filed by learned DAG, Haryana. The same is taken on record and copy supplied to the petitioner.

[4] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that application for interim relief had not been filed but the appeals were heard and were reserved for orders on 22.11.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for issuance of directions to the respondent to pronounce decision in the reserved cases in accordance with order (Annexure P-1).

[5] Learned DAG, Haryana, on instructions from the respondent, states that needful would be done and decision would be taken on the appeal in terms of order (Annexure P-1) before the expiry of the stipulated period of time.

[6] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[7] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondent to adhere to the assurance held out by him through the learned DAG, Haryana and to pronounce orders on the reserved appeals in accordance with order (Annexure P-1) before the expiry of period of time stipulated herein.

[8] *Rule discharged.*

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

05.12.2022

*'Rajneesh'*

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |