

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25282-2022

Date of Decision: 05.12.2022

Surjit Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged a *sanad* issued during the course of partition proceedings directly through this writ petition.

The *sanad* can also be challenged by way of a revision petition filed under Section 16 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887 (as applicable to Punjab). Thus, an equally efficacious remedy being available, I do not deem it appropriate to entertain this writ petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the revisional authority under Section 16 of the aforementioned Act.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

Delay, if any, in filing the revision petition shall be condoned, if the revision petition is filed within two weeks from today.

05.12.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No