

**RFA-4343-2019 (O&M) and
other connected cases**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**1. RFA-4343-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.07.2022**

UMRAO AND ORS.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

2. RFA-194-2020(O&M)

JAI NARAIN AND ORS.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

3. RFA-197-2020(O&M)

ARJUN SINGH AND ORS.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

4. RFA-240-2020(O&M)

HAWA SINGH @ DINESH KUMAR AND ANR.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

5. RFA-241-2020(O&M)

SATYABIR AND ORS.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

6. RFA-302-2020(O&M)

BADAN

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

..Respondents

BADAN

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

TESHI AND ORS.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

SMT. SUNERO AND ORS.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

RAMPAT AND ORS.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

JAINARAYAN AND ORS.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

RAJESH KUMAR AND ORS.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

7. RFA-311-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

8. RFA-313-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

9. RFA-348-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

10. RFA-356-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

11. RFA-38-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

12. RFA-455-2020(O&M)

..Appellants

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for HSIIDC.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

It has been pointed out by the learned counsel representing the appellant that these appeals have been rendered infructuous. He submits that the cross-appeals filed by the HSIIDC were allowed and the judgment in appeal was set aside while remitting the matter back to the Reference Court. The Reference Court has once again decided the matter. These appeals are arising from the previous award which has already been set aside.

Hence, the following appeals are dismissed as infructuous:-

<i>SR. NO.</i>	<i>CASE NO.</i>	<i>TITLE</i>
1.	<i>RFA-4343-2019</i>	UMRAO AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
2.	<i>RFA-194-2020</i>	JAI NARAIN AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
3.	<i>RFA-197-2020</i>	ARJUN SINGH AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
4.	<i>RFA-240-2020</i>	HAWA SINGH @ DINESH KUMAR AND ANR. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
5.	<i>RFA-241-2020</i>	SATYABIR AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
6.	<i>RFA-302-2020</i>	BADAN VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
7.	<i>RFA-311-2020</i>	BADAN VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
8.	<i>RFA-313-2020</i>	TESHI AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
9.	<i>RFA-348-2020</i>	SMT. SUNERO AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

10.	RFA-356-2020	RAMPAT AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
11.	RFA-38-2020	JAINARAYAN AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.
12.	RFA-455-2020	RAJESH KUMAR AND ORS. VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also
disposed of.

July 19th, 2022
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No