

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

XOBJR-125-2021 in/and
RFA-3315-2018(O&M)
Date of decision:15.12.2022

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Appellant(s)

Versus

BHUJIA @ RAM CHANDER (DECEASED) THR. LRS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for the landowner(s).

ANIL KSHETARPAL,J.

CM-4684-CI-2021 in XOBJR-125-2021

The prayer in this application is to condone the delay of 455 days in re-filing the cross-objections.

Admittedly, the connected appeals arising from the same notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, are pending. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Imrat Lal and others vs. Land Acquisition Collector and others (SC) 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437, Dhiraj Singh (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Haryana State and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 507 and Jethu Ram (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Union of India and others, 2016(4) Law Herald 3166*, has held that in such cases the delay be condoned subject to the denial of interest to the appellants for the period of delay.

Hence, the application is allowed and delay of 455 days in re-filing the cross-objections is condoned, subject to the condition that the cross-objector/respondent shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

CM-4685-CI-2021 in XOBJR-125-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, the application for bringing on record the legal representatives of Bhujia @ Ram Chander (respondent/cross-objector) is allowed, subject to all the just exceptions.

Main Case:

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal No.484 of 2018**, titled as **Leela Ram Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.**

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

15.12.2022
Sachin M.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No