

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRWP-10414-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 03.11.2022**

Sushama Yadav and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Members of the Bar are abstaining from work today.

CRM-W-1510-2022

This is an application, under Rule 3(A)(i), Chapter 6, Part-B, Volume-V, of the High Court Rules and Orders, seeking leave to appear, act or plead before this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-10414-2022

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

As per averments made in the present petition, petitioner No.1 – Sushama Yadav, aged about 18 years and petitioner No.2 – Shiv Narayan Yadav, aged about 27 years, have got married on 12.10.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 & 5. It has

been averred therein that the private respondents are threatening to interfere

in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 29.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, UT Chandigarh, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, UT Chandigarh, to look into the representation dated 29.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 03, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*