

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 10340 of 2022

Date of Decision: 18th November, 2022

Tarif and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), as they have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. He further submits that phone was picked up by another person.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

It is clarified that this court has not commented upon the validity of marriage and age of the petitioners.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

18th November, 2022

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No