

COC-387-2022

Date of Decision :31.03.2022

Harpal Singh and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh Chander Bidhan, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vaidant Arora, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 04.12.2020 in CWP No.20811 of 2020.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 04.12.2020 reveals that CWP No.20811 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the Director, Development and Panchayat, Haryana (respondent herein) to decide representation dated 16.03.2020 within 12 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case, an order adverse to the interest of the petitioners was to be passed, reasons in support thereof were required to be given.

[3] Learned DAG has produced copy of order dated 28.03.2022 in Court today. The same is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

[4] Learned Counsel contends that although the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the needful, yet, now since the respondent has passed order dated 28.03.2022 rejecting the representation filed by the petitioners, therefore, the petitioners do not press the instant petition but pray for liberty to challenge order dated 28.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No