

COCp-2391-2022

Date of Decision :29.11.2022

Gagandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Vishal Sharma, Project Director, NHAI, PIU, Patiala

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Kang, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 29.07.2022, in CWP-15347-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-15347-2022, was disposed of on the statement of learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 that payment would be released to the petitioner in accordance with law within two weeks along with statutory benefits including interest payable.

3. Mr. K.S. Kang, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent states that payment of Rs.44 Crores has been released to the competent authority/Land Acquisition, Patiala-cum-SDM by the NHAI, for release of payment to the petitioner and other land owners in terms of order Annexure P/1. Copy of letter dated 22.11.2022, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the

instant petition but prays for permission to take out appropriate proceedings against CLA-cum-SDM, Patiala for release of payment to the petitioner in terms of order Annexure P/1 29.07.2022.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.11.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No