

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

220

CRWP-12233-2021

Date of decision: 01.02.2022

SONIA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty against danger from respondents No. 4 and 5 on the averments that petitioner no.1 and petitioner no.2 are in live-in-relationship on account of love affair between them.

Petitioner No. 1-Sonia was married to one Jasbir Singh on 31.03.2017 as per Sikh rites and ceremonies but after some days the relation between petitioner No. 1 and her husband got spoiled. Husband of petitioner No. 1 is living in Portugal and is not in contact with her. Petitioner No. 1 got known to petitioner No. 2 and they both got attracted to each other and ultimately they decided to live in relationship

with their own wishes. The parents of petitioner No. 1 are against their live in relationship and the petitioners are being threatened by respondents No. 4 and 5.

Reply by way of affidavit of Gurmail Singh HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Pehowa has been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 in the Registry which is taken on record.

In the reply, it has been mentioned that statement of mother in law of petitioner No. 1 was recorded who stated that marriage of her son namely Jasbir Singh was solemnized with petitioner No. 1 in the year 2015. His son is in France for about two years. Her husband is residing in Canada for the past three years. Her son's wife Sonia has solemnized marriage with other person and she and her family has no objection qua the said marriage and she shall not cause any harm to petitioner No. 1 – Sonia.

Further, in the reply, it has been mentioned that statement of mother of petitioner No. 1- Sonia and her father was also recorded in which they have stated that their daughter's marriage was solemnized in the year 2015 with Jasbir Singh and their daughter is major and knows good or bad consequences of her actions. They have no objection wherever she lives.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that now the petitioners have no apprehension of danger from respondents No. 4 and 5 and the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to get the same restored in case of apprehension of any danger from respondents No. 4 and 5 in future.

In view of the averments made in the reply to the effect that the private respondents i.e. parents of petitioner No. 1 have no objection to the live-in-relationship of both the petitioners, the present petition for issuance of directions to seek protection is disposed of with liberty to get the same restored in case of apprehension of any danger from respondents No. 4 and 5 in future.

01.02.2022

kavneet singh

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No