

251 IOIN-FAO-5117-2013
SURESHO AND ORS VS GULSHAN AND ORS

PRESENT None for the for the appellants.

**Mr.Satpal Dhamija, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.**

This case has been put up for effecting service of
respondent No.1. Since contesting respondent is respondent No.3 –
Insurance which is being represented by the counsel, the service of
respondent No.1 is dispensed with.

IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

July 11, 2022.
raj arora

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE