

**254 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-205-2022
Date of decision: 28.01.2022**

Rakesh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 528, dated 08.08.2021, under Sections 148, 149, 186, 323, 332, 353, 379-B, 427 and 506 of IPC and Sections 120-B, 212, 341 (added later on), registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order passed on 03.12.2021 in CRM-M-49919 of 2021- Gurjeet alias Sonu @ Gurjit Kumar vs. State of Haryana” and claims parity.
4. Learned State counsel submits that allegations levelled against the petitioner were of snatching and currency notes and mobile. However, he on instructions from ASI-Gurjit Singh fairly submits that no mobile was recovered from the petitioner.
5. Petitioner is in custody since 11.10.2021, investigation is complete, no further recovery is to be made from the petitioners,

co-accused was granted bail and conclusion of the trial is likely to take

time, petitioner is granted bail on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. The petition is allowed.
7. It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

28th January, 2022
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No