

[145] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2388 of 2022
Date of Decision :05.12.2022

Madhu Bala ...Petitioner

versus

Varinder Kumar Sharma, IAS and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 13.05.2022, in CWP No.10178 of 2022 in case titled as 'Madhu Bala versus State of Punjab and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.10178 of 2022 was disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file representation, claiming the release of pensionary benefits while recording the statement of learned State Counsel that in case, any representation was made by the petitioner, the same would be decided within 08 weeks of receipt of the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that order dated 21.11.2022 has been passed, accepting part of the claim of the petitioner as made in representation dated 18.05.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 21.11.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law to the extent the same is

averse to the interest of the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.12.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No