

[143] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2373-2022

Date of Decision :05.12.2022

Hari Ram Bishnoi and others ...Petitioners

versus

Sanjeev KaushalRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 24.02.2020, in CWP No.16053 of 2001 in case titled as 'J.C. Sharma and others versus The State of Haryana and others'.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the notice issued in the instant petition, the respondent has granted selection grade to the petitioners as per entitlement in terms of order (Annexure P-1), therefore, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition but pray for grant of liberty to take out proceedings against the respondent to claim interest on the delayed release of payments.

[3] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[4] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.12.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No