

218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-54304-2021
Decided on: 10.01.2022

Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anmol Verma, Advocate for respondent No.4/complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that a lot of money is yet to be recovered.
2. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 05.01.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he has also filed an affidavit which is handed over to counsel for the State as well as for the complainant.
3. In the entirety of facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, now the State and Investigator are fully aware about the assets of the petitioner. It is open for the Investigator to proceed in accordance with law including freezing of bank accounts, in case he thinks appropriate.
4. Given above, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.12.2021 is made absolute. It is clarified that the observations made in this case shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE10.01.2022
anju raniWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable~~Yes/No~~
~~Yes/No~~