

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-50129-2022
Decided on : 20.12.2022

Jagir Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 5785 dated
23.09.2020 under Sections 135 and 151 of the Indian Electricity (Supply)
Act 2003 registered at Police Station I & P Karnal, District Karnal.

On 30.11.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:

*“Inter alia contends that the petitioner was not residing
in the premises where the theft of the electricity was committed
and rather the petitioner is residing with the third son
Manpreet Singh and at any rate even the amount of penalty i.e.,
Rs.57,442/- has been deposited in the present case.*

Adjourned to 20.12.2022.

*In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is
ordered to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing
personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting /
Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the
investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide
by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 30.11.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Rampal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 30.11.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 30.11.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

20.12.2022
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No