

**235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-1293-2021

Date of decision: 05.04.2022

Surinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

Vijay Pal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent and filed power of attorney in the Court today which is taken on record.

The applicant/wife-Surinder Kaur has instituted against the respondent/husband-Vijay Pal Singh, the instant application under Section 24 of the CPC seeking transfer of their petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'the Act') bearing No. HMA/375/2021 titled as 'Vijay Pal Singh versus Surinder Kaur' pending in the Court of the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Kurukshetra.

Through this invocation, the wife seeks transfer of the case to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Karnal.

Upon hearing counsel for the two sides, admittedly, the couple is in wedlock for the last almost 10 years. It is purely on the grounds that it is difficult for the applicant to attend the hearings from her native place in Karnal in a Court at Kurukshetra. It is squarely accepted that the relative distance between the two places is around 35 KMs approximately not much in this fast moving world, where multiple type of conveyances have come up to facilitate easy and comfortable safe travel. More so, both the cities are on main road. The pure ground of distance is certainly not a *bonafide* ground and is rather, a ploy to compel the husband to run to and fro by way of sweet revenge.

It needs to be kept in mind that such transfer applications in the recent times have skyrocketed in numerical strength, thus, putting heavy burden on the Courts, jeopardizing disposal of more urgent matters. Being a pure civil matter, presence of the applicant at each and every hearing is not at all necessitated. Such like trend, needs to be discouraged and in light of there being absence of any *bonafide* ground, the application being without merits, stands dismissed.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

05.04.2022

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No