

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50517-2022(O&M)

Date of decision:-20.12.2022

Anup Kumar @ Anoop Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate and
Mr.Nishant Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition. However, he has prayed that a direction be issued to the trial Court to complete the trial in an expeditious manner.

2. Of course expeditious trial is right of every litigant. Nevertheless, while allowing the request of counsel for the petitioner seeking withdrawal of the present petition, the trial Court is directed to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously by giving short adjournments, refusing to grant adjournment except for justifiable reasons, which are to be recorded in the order. The State shall cause

appearance of the remaining prosecution witnesses on each date so fixed.

Of course, no unnecessary adjournment would be asked on behalf of the accused, if trial is to proceed expeditiously. The necessary exercise be completed preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of copy of order there.

3. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

20.12.2022
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No