

COCN-2367-2022

Date of Decision :09.11.2022

Shikha Kapoor

...Petitioner

versus

Shri Anand Mohan Sharan and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Chander Kant Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/2 dated 18.04.2022, in CWP-7745-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-7745-2022, was disposed of directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide legal notice dated 09.12.2021, served by the petitioner, in the light of judgment dated 29.04.2019 incase titled as Suraj Prakash Bajaj versus State of Haryana and others - CWP No.22558 of 2016 and decision dated 28.01.2020 in case titled A.S. Malhotra and others versus State of Haryana and another - CWP No.21535 of 2016, besides other relevant material, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 09.11.2022, rejecting the claim made in legal notice

dated 09.12.2021. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to

learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of order dated 09.11.2022, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 09.11.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.11.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No