

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43-2022

Date of Decision: 06.01.2022

Rajiv Sehgal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

2. On the asking of the Court Mr. H.S. Sitta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice and waives service on behalf of official respondents. An appropriate number of copies of Paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer that he shall be satisfied if an appropriate direction is issued to the competent authority to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner.

4. There can be no dispute with such a limited prayer.

5. Given above, the Competent Authority shall decide the representation (Annexure P-4) within four weeks from today.

6. The present petition is allowed with the terms mentioned above.

06.01.2022

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

Bhumika

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No