

113(A) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2876-2021

Date of Decision :23.08.2022

Ram Rakha Singh and others ...Petitioners

versus

Parneet Kaur Shergill, IAS, Managing DirectorRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. G.K. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 04.08.2021, in CWP-13792-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-13792-2021, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider legal notice dated 23.03.2021 as representation moved by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if the petitioners were held entitled to the benefits claimed and there was no impediment for release of payment, to release the same to the petitioners without any further delay.

3. Reply has been filed by learned counsel for the respondent along with order Annexure R/1 dated 18.08.2022 and states that although in terms of order Annexure P/ dated 04.08.2021, claim of some of the

petitioners was decided vide order dated 02.09.2021, certain claims were decided subsequently vide order Annexure R/1 dated 18.08.2022. The reply is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in view of order dated 02.09.2021 and 18.08.2022, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1 dated 18.08.2022, to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioners.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.08.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No