

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-54560 of 2021**

**DECIDED ON:10<sup>th</sup> January, 2022**

**Salman @ Sallu**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**State of Haryana**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

Present: Mr. Arjun Dhingra, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional, Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

The petition before this Court is for regular bail in case of FIR No.23 dated 13.2.2021, under Sections 395, 397 IPC 1860 and 25 of Arms Act, 1954, registered at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal.

The FIR was got registered by Ankush alleging that on 12.2.2021, he loaded a truck for delivery from Tauru to Calcutta. Near village Bahin, 7-8 persons alighted from Bolero car, gave him beating and took away goods from the truck. He was robbed of two mobiles, Rs.7000/- in cash, Adhar Card, PAN Card, driving licence, ATM Card.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of false implication and no recovery was made from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The alleged recovery of clothes cannot be connected with the material in the truck. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and investigation is complete.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that apart from certain clothing, mobile phone of the complainant was recovered from the house of the petitioner. However, he does not dispute the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and that investigation is complete.

The petitioner is in custody since 12.4.2021. Though challan stands presented but conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and co-accused was granted bail by this Court, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**10<sup>th</sup> January, 2022**

*reema*

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |