

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26905-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 03.02.2022

Dial Sarup

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (HVPNL), Panchkula and
others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Akshit Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Gautam Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in
virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to
press the writ petition and seeks to withdraw the same.

Prayer made is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn being not pressed.

It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the
validity of the provisions contained in Section 164 of the Electricity Act, 2003
as well as Sections 10 and 16(1) of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 which have
been challenged in the writ petition and are kept open.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

03.02.2022

Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No