

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-283-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.02.2022

SARAJ @ SONU

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present:- Mr.Amit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Narwal, DAG, Haryana

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, petitioner seeks regular bail under Section 439 Cr. P. C. in case FIR No. 316 dated 09.04.2021 registered under Sections 489-B and 489-C IPC at Police Station Sadar Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. It is also submitted that the matter has been investigated and the challan stands presented and trial is likely to take some time to conclude, therefore, custody of the petitioner would no longer be required. Thus he prays for regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State would oppose grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that the allegations levelled against the

petitioner are serious in nature but is not in a position to dispute the fact that the investigation stands completed and challan has been presented.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also perused case file.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been investigated and the trial is likely to take time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars any longer.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered as appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

07.02.2022

Riya

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*

Whether reportable? *Yes/No*