

CRM-M-49921-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49921-2022

Date of decision:31.10.2022

Davinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.83 dated 20.07.2022, registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka, under Sections 458, 324, 323, 342, 148, 149 and 506 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the alleged occurrence took place on 15.07.2022 whereas the above-noted FIR was got registered on 20.07.2022 i.e. after a delay of 05 days; that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; that the complaint was not lodged immediately after the alleged occurrence and even as per the FIR, it was initially stated by the complainant that he would get the FIR registered after consulting with his relatives, and that the co-accused have since been granted the concession of regular bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

CRM-M-49921-2022

As per the case of prosecution, there were total eight injuries on the person of the complainant. The petitioner has been attributed five injuries on the person of the complainant, out of which, four injuries, including one on vital part i.e. head, with *kappa*.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence coupled with the fact that the weapon used by the petitioner in the offence, is yet to be recovered, this Court finds that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

31.10.2022

parveen kumar

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No