

CRWP-10247-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(114)

CRWP-10247-2022.
Date of Decision:-29.10.2022

Sandeep Kaur and Anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.4 to 6 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

At the outset, counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a typographical error in paragraph 3 of the petition and submits that petitioner No.1 is working in the Bank and petitioner No.2 is an agriculturist.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 04.10.1998 and petitioner No.2 was born on 20.04.1992, and have married each other on 25.10.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively), the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and Photographs (Annexure P-4). It is also stated in the petition that a detailed

CRWP-10247-2022

representation dated 25.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-The Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran, looks into the representation dated 25.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any case.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

October 29, 2022.

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No