

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-12079-2021**

Date of Decision: 30th November, 2022

Vijay Laxmi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. P.P. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking protection of life and property of the petitioner and her family members from the hands of private respondents No. 6 to 11 (arrayed in the petition) during her visits to the Court.

2. From the pleadings, it is evident that parties are related to each other and there are number of litigations pending *inter se* the parties.

3. Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 23rd February, 2022. Para 10 of the reply is reproduced below:-

“10. That during the enquiry, it has been established that respondents No. 6 to 11 has not given any kind of threaten to the petitioner and they has given the assurance to the investigating officer that Vijay Laxmi i.e. the petitioner have no danger to her life from the respondents no. 6 to 11. During the enquiry, the investigating officer made the contact with the petitioner on her mobile no.7017631351 from his mobile no. 7056606012 upon which she told that when she visited Narnaul court for the hearing in her cases then security is necessary. The investigating officer i.e. Yudhvair Singh SI/SHO Police Station, City Narnaul has given the assurance to the petitioner regarding her security that whenever she will appear in the Narnaul Court for hearing of

the cases then the information in this regard will be given to the local police by her and in that situation the security will be provided to the petitioner by her and in that situation the security will be provided to the petitioner in the Narnaul court. Hence, keeping in view the above facts as well as statement of respondent's no. 6 to 11, the petition of the petitioner deserves to be dismissed.”

4. In view of the above, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.

5. There is no doubt that in case petitioner approaches the police authorities concerned, the needful would be done in accordance with law expeditiously.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

30th November, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No