

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53992 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION:18.01.2022

Neeraj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Saroha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to surrender before the Investigating Agency as, according to the petitioner, he has done no wrong and the allegations alleged against the petitioner are false. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that in case, after surrendering, the petitioner files an application for the grant of regular bail, the same may be decided expeditiously.

As the present petition has not been pressed for the grant of anticipatory bail, no order is required to be passed by this Court on the said prayer.

With regard to the prayer of the petitioner for directing the trial Court to decide the regular bail application expeditiously, which the petitioner intends to file after surrendering, this Court has no apprehension in case the petitioner avails the said remedy, appropriate order will be passed by the trial Court on the said prayer, if raised,

expeditiously without any delay.

Disposed of as not pressed.

January 18, 2022

jt

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No