

COCP-2361-2022

Date of Decision : 13.12.2022

Sumitra Devi

...Petitioner

versus

Rajeev Ranjan and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Akanksha, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/2 in CWP-504-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/2 reveals that CWP-504-2021, was allowed and the respondents directed to process the case of the petitioner and if found eligible and meritorious, the petitioner was to be accorded the benefit of her performance in the selection carried out pursuant to advertisement dated 04.02.2016 and appointment letter was to be issued within two months, subject to availability of vacancy and in case of non-availability of vacancy, then the respondents were to adjust the petitioner in future vacancy as and when the same became available.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of appointment letter dated 01.12.2022, having been issued to the petitioner for the post of Tehsil Welfare Officer in the Welfare of Scheduled Castes

and Backward Classes Department, Haryana and the petitioner having

joined service, she has instructions from the petitioner to states that the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same. Copy of appointment letter dated 01.12.2022, is taken on record.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.12.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No