

Dushyant and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] The grievance of the petitioners is qua non recommendation of their names for the Lower School Course-2019 in terms of Annexure P-8 dated 29.11.2021 under 62% category despite their having secured more marks than the last candidate in the respective category deputed for the Lower School Course-2019.

[3] Learned counsel contends that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P-10 dated 10.12.2021 to respondent No.2 but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-10 dated 10.12.2021 in a time bound manner.

[4] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only

[5] Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no

[6] In view of the nature of order proposed to be passed, no reply is necessary.

[7] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writpetition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P-10 dated 10.12.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within four weeks of the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[8] Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.01.2022
ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No