

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27008 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.01.2022

Wazir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioners Wazir Singh, Sanjay Kumar, Kuldeep Kumar and Karan Singh in employment with respondents have brought the instant writ petition seeking refixation of their monthly wages in pay scale of category-C instead of category-D and for modification of nomenclature from Pump Attendants to Water Pump Operators with effect from 1996 and consider their case for change of nomenclature from 1996 as has been done in their co-employees similarly situated in light of judgment in CWP No. 15011 of 1998 decided on 29.07.2015, CWP No. 27443 of 2016 decided on 9.1.2017 and 16102 of 2021 by this Court.

According to the petitioners, they had submitted representations, Annexure P-8 by petitioner Wazir Singh, Annexure P-9 by petitioner Sanjay Kumar, Annexure P-10 by petitioner Karan Singh and Annexure P-10A by petitioner Kuldeep Kumar without evoking any response, as such they have brought the present writ petition.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider the representations so filed by the petitioners and then to dispose of the same.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents would comply with any such direction issued by the Court.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners as detailed in Annexures P-8, P-9, P-10 and P-10A as per law, in accordance with rules, instructions on the subject, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order and if some action in the matter is warranted then the needful be done in accordance with law. A speaking order in that regard be passed which be conveyed to the petitioners. If the petitioners still feel dissatisfied after passing of the order, then they may approach the Court again in accordance with law.

05.01.2022

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No