

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

**RSA- 3140 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.09.2022**

Mukhtiar Singh (Deceased) through LRs and another

..Appellants

Versus

Rajinder Kumar

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S.Sullar, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Anil Bansal, Advocate, for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Both the courts while decreeing a suit for permanent injunction, have protected the plaintiff's forcible dispossession except in due course of law. As per the stand taken by the defendants (appellants), the plaintiff is in possession. Of course, the plaintiff claims that he is in possession in pursuance to an agreement to sell dated 19.11.1994 as well as the General Power of Attorney, however, the courts have only granted an injunction against the forcible dispossession.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, particularly when the possession of the plaintiff (respondent) is not in dispute, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 01, 2022
nt

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*