

[152] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26877 of 2021
Date of Decision :02.03.2022

Amit Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vivek Baghla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has served legal notice, Annexure P/5 dated 18.03.2021 on respondent No.2 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant petition but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice, Annexure P/5 dated 18.03.2021 expeditiously.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim

made in legal notice, Annexure P/5 dated 18.03.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter,as expeditiously as possible while keeping in mind the decision of Hon’ble the Supreme Court in **C. Jacob vs. Director of Geology and Mining and another, 2008 (10) SCC 115.**

02.03.2022

‘Amit’

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)

Judge