

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24730-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.12.2022

Himanshu VermaPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

2. CWP-24318-2022 (O&M)

Vasu SirohiPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

3. CWP-25396-2022 (O&M)

Ananya GoswamiPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

4. CWP-25504-2022 (O&M)

Aman KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

5. CWP-25511-2022 (O&M)

SonalPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

6. CWP-25519-2022 (O&M)

Aakriti BhagatPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

7.

CWP-26457-2022 (O&M)
- Eshita Sandhu

.....Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents
8.

CWP-26756-2022 (O&M)
- Shrey Sharma

.....Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents
9.

CWP-26156-2022 (O&M)
- Jasmine Kaur

.....Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents
10.

CWP-27519-2022 (O&M)
- Shreya Goyal

.....Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
 HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate,
 Mr. Shiva Parashar, Advocate, and
 Mr. Pritish Goel, Advocate, for the petitioners
 (in CWP-25511, 25396, 26457 and 24730 of 2022).

 Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate,
 for the petitioner (in CWP-24318-2022).

 Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate,
 for the petitioner (in CWP-25504-2022).

 Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate,
 for the petitioner (in CWP-27519-2022).

 Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate,
 for the petitioner (in CWP-25519-2022).

 Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
 for the petitioner (in CWP-26756-2022).

Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CWP-26156-2022).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Shekhran Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the respondent University.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
For the respondent University (in CWP-26756 and 26156 of 2022).

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

These petitions have been filed by the petitioners for claiming consideration of their cases for admission in MBBS/BDS Course for the session 2022-23, in the State of Punjab. The petitioners have raised several issues in these petitions.

Some of the petitioners were permitted provisionally to participate in the first and second round of counseling, and the result was directed to be placed before this Court in a sealed cover.

At this stage, after hearing learned counsel for the parties at length and taking note of the fact that the case of each of the petitioners is different and the process of admission is going on and the notification governing the admission issued by the State of Punjab dated 22.08.2022, clearly provides for a mechanism for redressal of grievances relating to the admissions first by the Admission Committee and thereafter by the Appellate Authority and the Administrative Secretary, Department of Medical Education & Research, Government of Punjab, is the competent authority to take all decisions in the matter, as well as the fact that in the provisional result that has been placed before this Court in sealed covers, some of the petitioners have been found to be eligible, it is apposite that the matter should be examined by the authorities concerned, in respect of each and every petitioner, in terms of and in view of the submissions and issues raised by the petitioners.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the authorities concerned may consider all the issues raised by the petitioners, the provisions of the notification and the fact that the new system of taking into

consideration the permanent address in NEET form has been introduced for the first time in 2022, and all other concomitant factors and take a decision by passing a reasoned order.

The learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, submits that all the issues would be considered and a decision would be taken by the competent authority, as far as possible by tomorrow, i.e. 14.12.2022, and that the decision would be conveyed to the petitioners and the vacant seat, if any, would be published and declared for the Mop-up round.

The suggestion and submission of learned counsel for the petitioners that they would mail their submissions to the respondent authorities by tonight on the the E-mail that has been given in the prospectus is also fairly accepted by the learned Additional A.G., and he submits that in case any such issues/submissions are furnished online, they would also be considered, while taking the decision in the matter.

In view of the abovesaid statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petitions are disposed of, in terms thereof.

The results produced before the Court in sealed covers are resealed and returned back to the learned counsel for the respondent-University.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

13.12.2022
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No