

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

209

CWP-18716-2013 (O & M)

Date of Decision: July 06, 2022

SHER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.S.P. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to respondent No.3 to forward the application of the petitioner for SAS Part-I examination. He has sought further direction to assess the petitioner for the examination by treating him as eligible and to consider him for the benefits accruing therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is fully eligible to take part in the SAS examination but he was not being allowed to take the examination on the ground that the petitioner, who is working as Counter Incharge, does not form part of the Ministerial staff, who are eligible for the examination. The respondents have allowed even the Conductors of Haryana Roadways, who are not part of the Ministerial Staff to take part in the examination and, therefore, the petitioner cannot be denied participation in examination for SAS. He also submits that there is no limit to the number of times a person can appear in the examination and, therefore, the petitioner be allowed to participate in the examination to be held in future.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2, however, submits that in pursuance to interim order passed by this Court on 27.08.2013, the petitioner was permitted to take the SAS Part-I examination. He had qualified in the same and was also permitted to take part in SAS Part-II examination. The petitioner had failed in the SAS Part II examination.

Heard.

It is manifest that the petitioner had sought a direction to the respondents to permit him to participate in SAS Part-I examination. The petitioner has not only participated and qualified in the Part-I examination but he has also participated in the SAS Part-II examination, therefore, rendering this petition infructuous.

The petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

In the event of the respondents conducting the examination in future, the petitioner would be at liberty to file a representation for appearance in the examination. The representation of the petitioner would be considered and decided by passing a speaking order in terms of the relevant rules and instructions.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 06, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No