

217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54178-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.03.2022

Vinita

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jagatvir Dhindsa, Advocate for
Mr. Preetinder Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.400 dated 07.09.2020 registered under Sections 307, 120-B and 34 IPC and Section 25(1-b)(a) Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Palam Vihar, District Gurugram, who apprehends her arrest at the hands of Police.

On 23.12.2021, this Court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 30.11.2021 (Annexure P-9) passed by this Court in CRM-M-26736-2021, whereby concession of pre-arrest bail has been granted to the co-accused and contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with the said co-accused.

Notice of motion for 22.03.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance

cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel, who is instructed by SI Sulinder, states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.12.2021 is made absolute.

22.03.2022

Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No