

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26927-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.01.2022**

Shakuntala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present:- Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate for the respondent-HSVP.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Challenge in the instant petition is to the action of the respondent-Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, whereby claim of the petitioner for allotment of a plot under the oustee quota stands rejected on the ground that father-in-law of the petitioner was the owner of the land that had been acquired on the date of issuance of the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Advance copy of the petition had also been served upon the respondent authorities, Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate has entered appearance for respondents-HSVP.

He very fairly states that similar issue has already been dealt with by this Court vide order dated 23.12.2021 while disposing of CWP No.26532-2021.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the instant petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

In view of the above and without opining on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of in terms of order dated 23.12.2021 passed in *CWP No.26532 of 2021 titled as Rajesh Vs. State of Haryana and ors. as also CWP No.26641 of 2021 titled as Bharti Vs. State of Haryana and ors.*

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

05.01.2022

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No