

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

CRM-M-54214-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 2.3.2022

Sushil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K.Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Suman Bishnoi, Advocate, for
Mr. Man Mohit Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.743 dated 3.11.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324 IPC at Police Station City Sonapat, District Sonapat on the basis of compromise dated 7.12.2021 (Annexure P-2).

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Rani in which she alleged that she got married with petitioner-Sushil on 13.12.2018 and thereafter, dispute arose between them and on 2.11.2020, the petitioner started abusing her and also caused injuries on her hands and left leg with the help of knife and consequently, FIR in the present case was registered.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this

case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonipat along with joint statement of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion. Admittedly, the parties are husband and wife and now they have resolved their dispute.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3)

3.11.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324 IPC at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonapat and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

March 2, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No